The UK legal sector has entered a new era where traditional models will be severely tested as pressure grows to reduce annually the costs of production, yet increase quality and innovation. An example of how the costs of production are coming under pressure is the demand by clients for process work to be produced more cheaply. This has led to law firms forming joint ventures with Legal Process Outsourcers (LPOs), building their own process centres in cheaper locations outside the main financial centres, for example in Northern Ireland, or unbundling the work and sending the more commoditised elements to a regional law firm that has a lower cost base.

The start of this new era began in 2008, yet it is perhaps only now that lawyers in the UK are finally accepting that the ‘good old days’ are not coming back. This does not mean we will all be worse off. But it does mean we will have to work differently and think differently about professional services provision.

Value Destruction

Whether law firms are City-based, regional or High Street, they will have to invest in an improved offering and yet cut production costs at the same time to keep, or grow, market share. They must innovate, meet clients’ legal needs, but also show that they are
flexible and open to produce often repeated work in a cheaper way but with the same or better quality. Failure to do so may mean a loss of work.

The conversation between lawyer and client may often be about that most elusive of terms ‘value’, but the implicit message is that the price and overall cost of process work will become increasingly negotiated by clients. Many law firms naturally do not want to compete on price, or even discuss it. But, many general counsel have to live with a fixed budget, so in turn they will pass this pressure on to their advisers.

IT and better systems will help law firms to produce work more cheaply to meet this need. But do firms necessarily want to invest in better, faster, more efficient IT that will make their ‘product’ cheaper and easier to produce? The awkward truth is that inefficiency has been rather profitable for professional service firms. For systems specialists like banks and insurers and cost conscious corporates, adviser inefficiency has been put under the spotlight. In effect, law firms have come under the same financial and structural pressures that other industries, especially those in the retail supplier sector, have faced for at least the last 10 years.

**Consolidation and Commoditisation**

The driver for merger had always been about bringing together a greater wealth of legal expertise, often in a wider range of locations, in order to help service clients and retain a greater share of their legal spend. This client-focussed approach remains the primary reason for merger. But, in these new conditions, economies of scale are becoming an increasingly important secondary driver.

There are a number of benefits to economies of scale for a law firm. Back office costs could be shared. A doubling in the total lawyers in a firm does not necessarily mean a doubling in the number of support staff. Fixed support costs such as IT can be cheaper in larger firms relative to revenue generated. The more partners there are in a firm the smaller the cost-per-partner of paying for future investments, marketing campaigns and development of new practice areas.

In a UK market where revenues and profits will not be able to rise as rapidly as they have in the past, reducing operating costs via merger synergies, which will also deliver an improved platform to serve clients, may make increasingly good sense.

Another factor that will drive consolidation will be as panels shrink in size and become more stringent, there will be a greater need to offer clients a significant and wide-ranging capability, at home and abroad. Failure to do so may mean being left off key panels.

Ultimately, this is a new and challenging era, but if firms adapt they can continue to prosper.

*This article is based upon our latest report ‘After the Golden Age: The New Legal Era’. If you would like a copy then please contact: tony.williams@jomati.com.*

*Tony Williams is Principal, and Richard Tromans is a consultant who specialises in medium size law firms, of Jomati Consultants LLP, a London-based law firm consultancy.*
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